**Capstone Project 1: Data Wrangling**

**Section 1. Data aggregation**

In this section we briefly discuss treatment of variables in each of the 6 datasets provided by Home Credit.

1. Bureau data: This dataset contains all of the client's previous credits provided by other financial institutions that were reported to Credit Bureau (CB), such as number of days past due on CB credit at the time of application. For each current application ID, there are as many rows as number of credits the client had in Credit Bureau before the application date. That means each current application ID corresponds to multiple rows, and each row represents a different Bureau ID. This dataset has a total of 17 columns.

At each row (Bureau ID) level:

1. Drop CREDIT\_CURRENCY column as the variable is not informative, 99% are values of 1.
2. CREDIT\_TYPE has 15 categories and many have very few counts, consolidate any type that are not in the largest 2 categories into a new category called "Loan", as these are all related to some type of loans such as car loans, Microloan and so on. Only keep 3 final categories: Consumer Credit, Credit Card, Loan. Then use one hot encoding to create 3 dummy variables corresponding to each credit types.
3. Consolidate any non-active status in column CREDIT\_ACTIVE into 'Closed' status. Then use one hot encoding to create 2 dummy variables corresponding to each status, active or closed.

At each current application ID level:

1. Count total number of Bureau ID’s for each current application ID.
2. Sum over each of the credit type dummy variables to get the total number of consumer credit, credit card and loan for each current application ID.
3. Sum over each of the status dummy variables to get the total number of closed and active statuses for each current application ID.
4. Variables named starting with “AMT” represent current amount of credits, debts, limits of credit cards, or max / sum of amount overdue shown on the Bureau, aggregate these variables using sum to capture the total amount for each current application ID.
5. Variables named starting with “DAYS” represent at the time of application, how many days since Bureau credit ended, or remaining days of Bureau credit, or how many days before current application did client apply for Bureau credit, or days overdue and so on. Using max or min or both summary statistics to aggregate these columns is reasonable.
6. Use max to aggregate CREDIT\_DAY\_OVERDUE to capture the maximum days overdue on CB for the same current application ID.
7. Use max CNT\_CREDIT\_PROLONG to capture the maximum times was the Credit Bureau credit prolonged for the same current application ID.
8. Credit card balance data: This dataset provides the credit card balance information for previous application IDs in each month prior to current application. Variables include credit card limit during the month, amount drawing at ATM during the month, etc. for each previous application ID. Each current application ID corresponds to multiple previous IDs, and each previous ID corresponds to multiple rows and each row represents the month relative to current application, where -1 meaning the month prior to current application. This dataset has a total of 23 columns.

At each row (MONTHS\_BALANCE) level:

1. Variable NAME\_CONTRACT\_STATUS has 7 categories and very few counts in many categories. To reduce the number of categories, create a new dummy variable "STATUS\_ACTIVE" with only 2 categories, value 1 meaning active, 0 meaning inactive.
2. Variable SK\_DPD\_DEF means number of days past due during each month in the past before the current application. Since over 97.5% of the values are 0, and only less than 0.5% of the values are >= 1, create a new dummy variable to group all the > 0 values into 1 category. Similar treatment is used on variable SK\_DPD.

At each unique current and previous application ID combination level:

1. Variables named starting with “AMT” are amount of credit card balances, credit limit, ATM drawings, payments etc. in each of the past months before the current application. Aggregate each “AMT” variable using either average, maximum or sum over the past months.
2. Variables named starting with “CT” are number of drawings in each of past months before the current application. Aggregate each “CT” variable by sum to get the total number of drawings over the past months.
3. Use max function to aggregate the dummy variable created from STATUS\_ACTIVE, to represent whether the record is active within each unique combination of current application ID and previous application ID.
4. Sum over the dummy variables created from SK\_DPD\_DEF to get the total number of records having SK\_DPD\_DEF > 0 within each unique combination of current application ID and previous application ID. Similar treatment for variable SK\_DPD.

At each current application ID level:

All variables are aggregated again using either the sum or average function, to get the summarized value within each unique current application ID, over all previous application IDs.

1. Pos Cash balance data: This dataset provides the monthly balance snapshots of previous POS (point of sales) and cash loans that the applicant had with Home Credit. Similar to the credit card balance dataset, each current application ID corresponds to multiple previous IDs, and each previous ID corresponds to multiple rows and each row represents the month relative to current application, where -1 meaning the month prior to current application. This dataset has a total of 8 columns.

At each row (MONTHS\_BALANCE) level:

1. Since NAME\_CONTRACT\_STATUS has 9 categories and very few counts in some categories, to reduce the number of categories, only keep Active and Completed categories, all other statuses are consolidated into 1 category called 'Other'.

At each unique current and previous application ID combination level:

1. Further reduce the number of categories in NAME\_CONTRACT\_STATUS by creating a new dummy column to represent completed contracts (value 1). All others will be considered as non-completed (value 0).
2. Variable CNT\_INSTALMENT means term of previous credit. Create 2 columns to keep the max and min term of previous credit within each unique combination of current application ID and previous application ID.
3. Variable CNT\_INSTALMENT\_FUTURE represents Installments left to pay on the previous credit. Create 2 columns to keep the max and min.
4. Treatment for variable SK\_DPD and SK\_DPD\_DEF are similar to the credit card balance dataset above.

At each current application ID level:

All variables are aggregated again using either the sum or min, max function, to get the summarized value within each unique current application ID, over all previous application IDs.

1. Installment payment data: This dataset provides past payment data for the previously disbursed credits in Home Credit. Each current application ID corresponds to multiple previous application IDs and each previous application ID contains multiple rows, where one row for every payment that was made plus one row each for missed payment. One row is equivalent to one payment of one installment OR one installment corresponding to one payment. This dataset has a total of 8 columns.

At each unique current and previous application ID combination level:

1. Keep the min and max of variable NUM\_INSTALMENT\_VERSION and NUM\_INSTALMENT\_NUMBER. The version number signifies payment parameter changes; however, no additional information is available to further engineer this feature.
2. DAYS\_INSTALMENT >= DAYS\_ENTRY\_PAYMENT meaning the payment is made prior to the due date, which is on time payments, otherwise it would be a late payment. Aggregate by counting number of late payments.
3. Keep average AMT\_INSTALMENT and average AMT\_PAYMENT across different rows.

At each current application ID level:

Logic of aggregation at SK\_ID\_CURR level are the same as those used in


aggregating at the SK\_ID\_PREV level described above.

1. Previous application data: This dataset contains information about all previous applications for Home Credit loans of clients who have loans in the current application. Each current application ID corresponds to multiple rows which represent one previous application ID. This dataset has a total of 38 columns.
2. For variables named starting with “AMT” or “RATE”, such as AMT\_ANNUITY, AMT\_CREDIT and so on, aggregate by summing up the total annuity, total amount of requests, total credit, down payment etc. information over all previous applications. Get the maximum down payment and interest rate etc. from all of the previous applications.
3. For categorical variables named starting with “NAME” and a few others, first do one hot encoding at each row level, then sum at SK\_ID\_CURR level to get the total number of instances over all previous application IDs.
4. For variables named starting with “DAYS” such as the first and last due days of each previous application, get the min and max of days (relative to current application date) over all previous applications.
5. For columns with high cardinality, first group some categories with fewer frequencies, then do one hot encoding at each row level, and finally, sum at SK\_ID\_CURR level to get the total counts that previous application appeared in that category, within the same current application ID. As an example, variable "NAME\_CASH\_LOAN\_PURPOSE" has over 20 categories, group the categories whose frequency are <= 20000 to simplify the variable into 4 categories. Then use one hot encoding to create 4 dummy variables representing the presence of each of the 4 categories. Finally, sum up at current application ID level to get the total number of counts that belong to each of the 4 categories.
6. Current application training data: This is the main table with static information for all current applications. No data cleaning is done at this point for this dataset. Merge this table with all of the 5 aggregated tables created above to get one combined dataset. Section 2 talks about EDA and further preprocessing of the combined dataset such as checking variable correlations and treating missing values.


**Section 2. Data cleaning, exploration and missing value imputations**

At the end of the last section, all datasets coming out of 6 sources are combined into one table, and categorical variables only exist in the main lead application table, as the categorical variables in all 5 other tables are all aggregated and summarized into numeric variables using one hot encoding and summary functions. Further preprocessing of the combined table will be done in this section, mainly treating and exploring the categorical and numeric variables in the lead table. First of all, columns with over 60% missing values are deleted.


1. The nature of default data is highly imbalanced, as shown in the following graph, overall default percentage is 8.07% in the training set.




1. Exploration of categorical variables. Looking at the default rate within each category in a categorical variable helps visualizing which variables distinguish default vs. non default records better. Due to the vast number of categorical variables in the dataset, here we only show a few.
2. Contract type. Revolving loans group appears to have a lower default rate than the Cash loans group. A hypothesis t-test can be done to test if the difference in default rate is statistically significant.




1. Gender. Notice that there are 3 gender groups, we will remove the 4 records having gender = XNA. It can be seen from the graph that majority of the applicants are female, and the default rate within female applicants is lower than that in the male group.




1. Own a car or not. Majority of the applicants do not own a car, default rate within applicants who own a car is 7.24%, whereas the default rate in the other group is 8.5%. A hypothesis t-test can be done to conclude if the difference is statistically significant or not.





1. Own realty or not. Majority of the applicants own realty; however, the default rate is pretty close in the 2 groups, which may indicate the variable does not have strong power in separating default loans from non-default loans.




1. If documents 2 ~ 21 are provided by the applicant during the application. There are no detailed descriptions of what each document is, we simply check the distribution of each document variable to see how they distinguish default from non-default applications. Here we only display the graphs of a few document variables. Except for document 3 where a greater number of applicants provided the document, for all the rest documents, majority of the applicants did not provide. For most of the documents, the default rate in the group that provided the document is lower than the group that didn’t provide. But there are a few documents that show the opposite behavior.












1. It can be seen from below that applicants who are on maternity leave or who are unemployed have the highest default rate. This make sense as these applicants may not have consistent regular income to pay the loan.




1. Who accompanied applicant at the time of application. In general, this variable does not provide much separation power. Majority of the applicants came alone without any accompaniment. Notice that there are ‘nan’ values in the variable that need to be coded as a new category ‘UKN’ indicating unknown.







1. Education level. There is a rank ordering in default rate by education level. In general, applicants with low secondary education has the highest default rate. As we can imagine education level is correlated with type of job the applicant can take and highly correlated with income, which is essential to repayment of a loan.




1. This variable again is highly correlated with the financial stability of the applicant. People who rent a house or live with parents may not have a regular income, thus having difficulty repaying the loan.





1. Occupation type. This variable has over 15 categories, we group the categories having <= 10000 counts into 1 group. Also notice ‘nan’ values that needs to be coded into a new category.






1. Variable organization type contains more than 20 categories and ‘nan’ values in the column, group the categories with < 30000 counts into 1 group and code ‘nan’ values into a new category.







1. Family status.

****


1. Application start time by weekday. It is expected that application volumes are higher during weekdays compared to weekends.

****


1. Application starting time by hour of the day. Clearly volumes are higher during working hours between 9am and 4pm, and much lower before 9am or after 4pm.

****


1. Wall materials. This variable tells the wall material of the house that the applicant lives in. Note there are ‘nan’ values that need to be treated.


****


1. Exploration of numeric variables. Here we explore some numeric variables by creating the KDE plots for each variable and split by default vs. non-default.
2. There is perfect positive linear correlation between observations of client's social surroundings with observable 30 days past due and 60 days past due. As a result, remove one of them from the dataset. There is also high linear correlation between observation of client's social surroundings defaulted on 30 days past due and defaulted on 60 days past due, so remove one of them.





1. Below are KDE plots for some numeric variables. Left panel shows the original range of the variable. Since most of the variables are highly skewed to the right, we zoom in the head of the distribution and plot it on the right panel. A few variables show distinction between the default and non-default groups, while many others are quite similar in these 2 target groups.







































1. Below are KDE plots for some left skewed numeric variables. Left panel shows the original range of the variable, and the right panel shows the zoomed in view of the head in the distribution plot.














1. Variables below are originally represented in days in the dataset, convert them into years to better visualize the ranges. Here are some observations:
2. "DAYS\_EMPLOYED" has values over 1000 years, which are apparently errors. Based on other age type of variables, they are all counting backwards, meaning the maximum should be 0 (current application date), so cap the value at 0.
3. 'MAX\_DAYS\_CREDIT\_ENDDATE' is an aggregated field summarizing all previous applications within the same current application ID using the max aggregation function. It means over all the previous applications within the same current application ID, the maximum remaining duration of Bureau credit at the time of application. This variable should be a positive number, but we found negative values in the column. As a result, we floor the variable at 0. For missing values, impute using the median.
4. 'MAX\_DAYS\_CREDIT\_UPDATE' is an aggregated field similar to (ii). It means over all the previous applications within the same current application ID, the maximum days before current application when the last information about the Credit Bureau credit come. This should be a negative number as it's counting backwards from the current application date. For all the positive numbers, define them as 0.
5. 'MIN\_DAYS\_CREDIT\_UPDATE' is treated the same way as MAX\_DAYS\_CREDIT\_UPDATE




































#### Distributions of 'AMT\_ANNUITY', 'AMT\_CREDIT' and 'AMT\_GOODS\_PRICE' are skewed to the right, we recommend to take the log transformation to normalize the data.

#### 













1. There is 1 extremely large income value in the default group ($120 million), and 4 very large values (> $7.5 million) in the non-default group. Recommend to remove the outliers and then do log transformation to normalize the income data.







#### 'REGION\_POPULATION\_RELATIVE' variable has multiple modes, most of the clients live in lower population density places. Visually the distribution for the default and non-default groups are similar.

#### 

#### The distributions for \_AVG, \_MODE and \_MEDI numeric variables are all kind of skewed, but since they are already normalized to range 0 to 1, no additional transformation is done to these variables. For missing values in these variables, can impute using the median. Here we are only showing the KDE plot for a few variables.

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### Correlations between the \_AVG, \_MODE and \_MEDI variables. Some groups of variables are highly correlated with some groups, for example, 'APARTMENTS' variables are highly correlated with 'LIVINGAREA' variables. At this point we don't remove any one of them.

#### 

## Data cleaning and transformation, high correlation column removal and outlier removal, missing value imputations.

1. Remove outliers with extremely large income accounts. Remove gender = XNA rows.
2. For categorical features: (i) When cardinality is high, combine some low frequency categories. (ii) When missing values (‘nan’) are present, code it as a new category. (iii) Use one hot encoding to convert all categorical variables into numeric dummy variables and drop the original categorical variables.

#### For numeric features: (i) Drop variables with high or perfect linear correlations with others. (ii) Do log transformation to normalize some “AMT” variables. (iii) Floor or cap some “DAYS” variables based on exploratory column analysis. (iv) Impute all missing values using the median of the non-missing part.


**Section 3. Statistical Tests and Inference**

In this section we perform a few hypothesis tests to check if the distributions of a numeric / categorical variable are the same in the default and non-default groups.

1. Two sample t-test of equal mean of numeric variables on default and non-default groups.

The null hypothesis is that the mean values of the variable are the same in default and non-default groups. The alternative hypothesis is that the mean values are different.


For most of the variables, their mean values are statistically different in the default and non-default groups. Among the variables tested, only AMT\_ANNUITY and SUM\_AMT\_CREDIT\_MAX\_OVERDUE have insignificant p-values, meaning there is no evidence to reject the null hypothesis.



1. Test of equal distributions of numeric variables on default and non-default groups.


Use Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test to check if the distributions of one numeric variable are the same on default and non-default groups. Under the null hypothesis the two distributions are identical. The alternative hypothesis is that their distributions are different. The KS test is only valid for continuous distributions.

Among the numeric features tested, none of them have the same distribution in the default and non-default groups. This is expected as testing the distributions are similar or the same is more restrictive than having the same mean values. Intuitively at least their means should be pretty close, and we already saw in the previous t-test that only 2 variables have similar mean values on the default and non-default groups.



1. Test if the default rates from 2 categories are statistically the same using z-test.

This is testing the proportion of default in 2 categories are the same. Pick a few categorical variables with 2 levels, and compare the default rate in each level. Test results show a few variables having p-values > 0.05, indicating the default proportions are not significantly different in its 2 categories. Such variables may not have a good separating power of default loans from non-default loans.



1. Chi-squared test of independence between categorical variables and the target.

This is testing the distribution of counts in each category of a categorical variable is independent of the target group, whether default or non-default. Rejecting the null hypothesis would mean the categorical variable differs in the 2 target groups, in which case this categorical variable may be a good feature to separate out default vs. non-default loans; otherwise we would have no evidence to conclude the distribution of counts are different in the 2 target groups.

Choose a few categorical variables where there are not too many levels, and need to make sure there are > 0 counts in every category in both default and non-default loans.

It turns out that the p-values obtained from this Chi-squared test are exactly the same as the p-values coming out of the z-test in 3).

